

Benchmark Statement

Index: CAC 40 ESG

Administrator: Euronext Paris



Version notes

latest version December 2021

Dates	Version notes
March-21	Initial version
April-21	Quarterly update; adding incident rate and graphics
June-21	Quarterly update
September-21	Quarterly update
December-21	Quarterly update

This publication is for information purposes only and is not a recommendation to engage in investment activities. This publication is provided "as is" without representation or warranty of any kind. Whilst all reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the content, Euronext does not guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Euronext will not be held liable for any loss or damages of any nature ensuing from using, trusting or acting on information provided. All proprietary rights and interest in or connected with this publication shall vest in Euronext. No part of it may be redistributed or reproduced in any form without the prior written permission of Euronext.

Euronext refers to Euronext N.V. and its affiliates. Information regarding trademarks and intellectual property rights of Euronext is located at :

www.euronext.com/terms-use

For further information in relation to Euronext Indices please contact:

index-team@euronext.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION..... 3

2. INDEX CHARACTERISTICS..... 4

3. POLICIES..... 5

4. BENCHMARK STATEMENT REVIEW AND UPDATE..... 6

5. LIST OF INDICES..... 7

6. CLIMATE-RELATED DISCLOSURES..... 8

1. INTRODUCTION

This Benchmark Statement covers non-significant benchmarks registered via Euronext Paris as a EU Benchmark Administrator ("Administrator").

Euronext NV operates via its wholly owned subsidiaries Euronext Amsterdam N.V.(Euronext Amsterdam), Euronext Brussels S.A/N.V (Euronext Brussels), Euronext Lisbon – SGMR, SA (Euronext Lisbon), Euronext Paris S.A (Euronext Paris), The Irish Stock Exchange plc (Euronext Dublin) and Oslo Børs ASA (Oslo Børs) (collectively the "Benchmark Administrators" and individually the "Administrator") a leading benchmark and strategy index franchise that measures different segments of the Euronext and other global markets, including AEX®, BEL 20®, CAC 40®, ISEQ® and PSI 20®. Euronext has a long standing major interest in the management of benchmarks and indexes.

2. INDEX CHARACTERISTICS

2.1 Input Data

The indices part of this Benchmark Statement are calculated using official trade prices sourced directly and entirely from the trading platform of Euronext (OPTIQ) or the Index calculation system of Euronext in case of index levels.

None of the indices use any contributed input data.

2.2 Qualification/Classification

This Benchmark Statement concerns the following type of indices as defined by Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on indexes used as benchmarks:

- Regulated data benchmarks
- The indices within the index family are classified as non-significant benchmarks; see Chapter 5
- The indices within the CAC 40 ESG index family apply ESG factors in its selection and weighting policy. See the Annex and index family rule book for explanation of the
- The rules of the CAC 40 ESG index family are published here:
[CAC 40 ESG index rulebook](#)

The complete list of ISIN codes that are part of this Benchmark family is included in chapter 5 and can be found in the public document 'List of Indices per Benchmark family' which provides all reference information.

2.3 Index Universe

More detailed information on the eligible companies per index can be found in the Rulebook of the specific index.

2.4 Changes to or Cessation of Indices

Factors, including external factors beyond the control of the administrator, may necessitate changes to, or the cessation of, the indices part of this Benchmark Statement.

Users are advised that changes to, or the cessation of, the benchmark may have an impact upon the financial contracts and financial instruments that reference the benchmark or the measurement of the performance of investment funds.

3. POLICIES

3.1 Exceptional Market Conditions

The chapter 'Exceptional Market Conditions' which is included in the Correction Policy document gives a description of the circumstances in which the administrator would lack sufficient input data to determine the benchmark in accordance with the methodology and the instances when the accuracy and reliability of the methodology used for determining the benchmark can no longer be ensured.

3.2 Correction policy

The Correction Policy describes the procedures in case of index outages and other situations in which the normal provision of indices is interrupted or if it appears that elements of the calculation are or seem to be erroneous. The Correction Policy seeks to provide further guidance on the process to be followed in various situations.

The Correction Policy is described in more detail here:

[Correction Policy](#)

3.3 Expert Judgment

The indices administered by the Administrator are derived from data sourced from regulated markets or exchanges with mandatory post-trade transparency requirements. No expert judgement is exercised apart from the normal quality assurance procedures regarding incoming data. Expert Judgment specifically and exclusively refers to exercise of discretion with respect to its use of data in determining an index in the following context:

- Expert Judgment includes extrapolating data from prior or related transactions, adjusting data for factors that might influence the quality of data such as market events or impairment of a buyer or seller's credit quality, or weighing firm bids or offers greater than a particular concluded transaction.
- Other areas of discretion, such as methodology changes, are not, for the purposes of this document, considered Expert Judgment.

3.4 Discretion

The Administrator may apply discretion to make decisions that differ from the index methodology in certain circumstances, including to avoid unnecessary turnover, excessive index changes or adjustments, or possible market disruption. If a situation occurs that is not covered by the rules or where the application of the rules could have a disruptive impact on the market, Index Design may propose a specific decision to the Independent Supervisor of the index if one exists. If no Independent Supervisor exists, the Index Panel will be consulted.

4. BENCHMARK STATEMENT REVIEW AND UPDATE

This Benchmark Statement will be updated whenever the information it provides is no longer correct or sufficiently precise and at least where:

- (a) there is a change in the type of the benchmark;
- (b) there is a material change in the methodology for determining the benchmark or, where the benchmark statement refers to a family of benchmarks, in the methodology for determining any benchmark within the family of benchmarks.

This Benchmark Statement will be reviewed at least once a year.

5. LIST OF INDICES

Index name	Mnemo	ISIN Code	Classification	Publication since
CAC 40 ESG	CESGP	FR0014002B31	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21
CAC 40 ESG NR	CESGN	FR0014002B56	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21
CAC 40 ESG GR	CESGG	FR0014002B49	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21
CAC 40 ESG Decrement 3%	CED3	FR0014002G69	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21
CAC 40 ESG Decrement 3.5%	CED35	FR0014002G51	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21
CAC 40 ESG Decrement 4%	CED4	FR0014002G77	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21
CAC 40 ESG Decrement 4.5%	CED45	FR0014002G85	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21
CAC 40 ESG Decrement 5%	CED5	FR0014002G93	Non-significant benchmark	22-03-21

6. CLIMATE-RELATED DISCLOSURES

SECTION 1 – CONSIDERATION OF ESG FACTORS	
Item 1. Name of the benchmark administrator	Euronext Paris
Item 2. Type of benchmark or family of benchmarks <i>Choose the relevant underlying asset from the list provided in Annex II.</i>	Equity Benchmark
Item 3. Name of the benchmark or family of benchmarks	CAC 40 ESG
Item 4. Are there in the portfolio of the benchmark administrator any EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?	Yes
Item 5. Does the benchmark or family of benchmarks pursue ESG objectives?	Yes
Item 6. Where the response to Item 5 is positive, provide below the details (score) in relation to the ESG factors listed in Annex II for each family of benchmarks at aggregated level. The ESG factors shall be disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value at the level of the family of benchmarks.	
a) List of combined ESG factors	<p>Selection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 40 highest-ranking companies based on ESG score are selected (during quarterly with a bufferzone between 36-44 giving priority to current included companies) • If necessary the composition is modified (at the review) to make sure that both the Green to Brown ratio as well as the GHG (1+2) footprint is improved in comparison with the Index Universe (CAC Large 60)

b) List of environmental factors	<p>Exclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bottom 20% of the CAC Large 60 constituents based on ESG score are excluded • Companies involved in production of key parts or full weapon systems for munitions of landmines or cluster munition (revenue $\geq 0\%$) • Companies involved in production of tobacco (revenue $\geq 0\%$) • Companies identified as critical controversies with regards to the 4 pillars (Environment, Labour rights, Human rights, corruption), with regards to UN Global Compact principles • Companies with revenues above 10% derived from distribution of tobacco are excluded (revenue $\geq 10\%$) • Companies involved in Thermal Coal Mining are excluded (revenue $\geq 0\%$) • Companies with revenues above 5% from coal Coal-fuelled power generation are excluded (revenue $\geq 5\%$) • Companies involved in Tar sand and oil shale extraction are excluded (revenue $\geq 0\%$) • Companies with Revenues above 5% derived from the production or sale of civilian firearms are excluded (revenue $\geq 5\%$) • Companies involved in Full weapons system – munitions for the following weapons considered as controversial by V.E: Landmines, cluster munitions, biological weapons, chemical weapons, blinding laser weapons, incendiary weapons, non-detectable fragments, depleted uranium, white phosphorus
c) List of social factors	According to V.E Equitics method
d) List of governance factors	According to V.E Equitics method

Item 7. Where the response to Item 5 is positive, provide below the details (score) for each benchmark, in relation to the ESG factors listed in Annex II, depending on the relevant underlying asset concerned. Alternatively, all of this information may be provided in the form of a hyperlink to a website of the benchmark administrator included in the benchmark statement. The information on the website shall be easily available and accessible. Benchmark administrators shall ensure that information published on their website remains available for five years. The score of the ESG factors shall not be disclosed for each constituent of the benchmark, but shall be disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value of the benchmark.

a) List of combined ESG factors

Weighted average ESG rating of the benchmark (expressed by a value ranging from 1 to 4, higher is better)	ESG rating	Weighted Average	Coverage																							
	CAC 40 ESG Index	2.47	100.0%																							
	CAC Large 60 Index	2.43	100.0%																							
	<div>ESG SCORE DISTRIBUTION</div>  <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>[1 - 2]</th><th>[2 - 2.2]</th><th>[2.2 - 2.4]</th><th>[2.4 - 2.6]</th><th>[2.6 - 2.8]</th><th>[2.8 - 3]</th><th>[3 - 4]</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Index</td><td>0.5%</td><td>18.2%</td><td>17.4%</td><td>37.3%</td><td>8.5%</td><td>18.2%</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>Benchmark</td><td>1.5%</td><td>22.0%</td><td>20.0%</td><td>29.0%</td><td>13.6%</td><td>13.8%</td><td>0.0%</td></tr></tbody></table>				[1 - 2]	[2 - 2.2]	[2.2 - 2.4]	[2.4 - 2.6]	[2.6 - 2.8]	[2.8 - 3]	[3 - 4]	Index	0.5%	18.2%	17.4%	37.3%	8.5%	18.2%	0.0%	Benchmark	1.5%	22.0%	20.0%	29.0%	13.6%	13.8%
	[1 - 2]	[2 - 2.2]	[2.2 - 2.4]	[2.4 - 2.6]	[2.6 - 2.8]	[2.8 - 3]	[3 - 4]																			
Index	0.5%	18.2%	17.4%	37.3%	8.5%	18.2%	0.0%																			
Benchmark	1.5%	22.0%	20.0%	29.0%	13.6%	13.8%	0.0%																			

Top ten benchmark constituents by weighting in the benchmark	Constituent Name	Weights
	LVMH Moet Hennessy Louis Vuitton SE	9.9%
	L'Oreal SA	7.7%
	Sanofi	7.4%
	Schneider Electric SE	7.2%
	Air Liquide SA	5.4%
	BNP Paribas SA	5.0%
	Airbus SE	4.9%
	AXA SA	4.0%
	Kering SA	3.9%
	VINCI SA	3.7%
	Weighted average ESG rating of top 10	2.48

b) List of environmental factors																															
Weighted average environmental rating of the benchmark (expressed by a value ranging from 1 to 4, higher is better)	Environmental rating		Weighted Average		Coverage																										
	CAC 40 ESG Index		2.49		100.0%																										
	CAC Large 60 Index		2.42		100.0%																										
	<div>ENVIRONMENTAL SCORE DISTRIBUTION</div> <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>[1 - 2]</th><th>[2 - 2.2]</th><th>[2.2 - 2.4]</th><th>[2.4 - 2.6]</th><th>[2.6 - 2.8]</th><th>[2.8 - 3]</th><th>[3 - 4]</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Index</td><td>1.1%</td><td>23.1%</td><td>11.7%</td><td>25.9%</td><td>22.0%</td><td>16.2%</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>Benchmark</td><td>6.4%</td><td>24.3%</td><td>10.3%</td><td>29.1%</td><td>17.3%</td><td>12.3%</td><td>0.3%</td></tr></tbody></table>								[1 - 2]	[2 - 2.2]	[2.2 - 2.4]	[2.4 - 2.6]	[2.6 - 2.8]	[2.8 - 3]	[3 - 4]	Index	1.1%	23.1%	11.7%	25.9%	22.0%	16.2%	0.0%	Benchmark	6.4%	24.3%	10.3%	29.1%	17.3%	12.3%	0.3%
		[1 - 2]	[2 - 2.2]	[2.2 - 2.4]	[2.4 - 2.6]	[2.6 - 2.8]	[2.8 - 3]	[3 - 4]																							
Index	1.1%	23.1%	11.7%	25.9%	22.0%	16.2%	0.0%																								
Benchmark	6.4%	24.3%	10.3%	29.1%	17.3%	12.3%	0.3%																								
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to climate-related physical risks, measuring the effects of extreme weather events on companies' operations and production or on the different stages of the supply chain. The score is expressed on a scale 0-100 where a low score indicates high risk, and a high score indicates low risk.	Climate-related physical risks		Weighted Average		Coverage																										
	CAC 40 ESG Index		58		100.0%																										
	CAC Large 60 Index		60		100.0%																										
Degree of exposure of the portfolio to the sector in Sections A to H and Section L of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council (including the oil, gas, mining and transportation sectors, are sectors that highly contribute to climate change) as a percentage of the total weight in the portfolio (expressed in %, represents the sum of the weights)	NACE Sections A to H + L		Weight		Coverage																										
	CAC 40 ESG Index		80.6%		100.0%																										
	CAC Large 60 Index		79.2%		100.0%																										
Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity (scope 1&2) of the benchmark (expressed as a weighted average, the unit is in tons of CO2e per million EUR of revenue)	GHG intensity		Weighted Average		Coverage																										
	CAC 40 ESG Index		140.48		100.0%																										
	CAC Large 60 Index		158.94		100.0%																										

Percentage of GHG emissions reported versus estimated (based on full coverage)	GHG reported vs. estimated	%	
	CAC 40 ESG Index	100.0%	
	CAC Large 60 Index	98.3%	
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies the activities of which fall under Divisions 05 to 09, 19 and 20 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 including Mining of coal and manufacture of chemicals, are sectors that highly contribute to climate change (expressed in %, represents the sum of the weights)	NACE Divisions 5 to 9 +19 & 20	Weight	Coverage
	CAC 40 ESG Index	14.0%	100.0%
	CAC Large 60 Index	16.9%	100.0%
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector, as defined in Article 2, point (5) of Regulation (EU) No 691/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council (expressed in %, represents the sum of the weights)	Environmental goods and services sector	Weight	Coverage
	CAC 40 ESG Index	2.1%	87.9%
	CAC Large 60 Index	1.6%	90.4%


c) List of social factors

Weighted average social rating of the benchmark (expressed by a value ranging from 1 to 4, higher is better)	Social rating	Weighted Average	Coverage
	CAC 40 ESG Index	2.39	100.0%
	CAC Large 60 Index	2.37	100.0%

SOCIAL SCORE DISTRIBUTION

	[1 - 2]	[2 - 2.2]	[2.2 - 2.4]	[2.4 - 2.6]	[2.6 - 2.8]	[2.8 - 3]	[3 - 4]
Index	12.6%	12.0%	22.4%	31.3%	11.7%	9.9%	0.0%
Benchmark	14.4%	13.3%	19.8%	35.7%	8.9%	7.9%	0.0%

International treaties and conventions, United Nations principles or, where applicable, national law used in order to determine what constitutes a 'controversial weapon'	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anti-Personnel Mines: Mine Ban Treaty (1999) • Cluster Munitions: Convention on Cluster Munitions (2010) • Chemical Weapons: Chemical Weapons Convention (1997) • Biological Weapons: Biological Weapons Convention (1975) • Incendiary Weapons: Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons – Protocol III on Incendiary Weapons (1983) • Non-Detectable Fragments: Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons – Protocol I on Non-Detectable Fragments (1983) • Blinding Laser Weapons: Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons – Protocol IV on Blinding Laser Weapons (1998) 		
Weighted average percentage of index constituents in the controversial weapons sector (sum of weights of companies with verified involvement based on V.E definition as per the rulebook)	Controversial weapons sector	Weight	Coverage
	CAC 40 ESG Index	0.0%	100.0%
	CAC Large 60 Index	0.6%	100.0%
Weighted average percentage of index constituents in the tobacco sector (sum of weights of companies with >= 10% tobacco revenue share)	Tobacco sector	Weight	Coverage
	CAC 40 ESG Index	0.0%	100.0%
	CAC Large 60 Index	0.0%	100.0%
Number of benchmark constituents subject to social violations (absolute number and relative divided by all index constituents), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law	Social violations	Count	%
	CAC 40 ESG Index	0	0.0%
	CAC Large 60 Index	0	0.0%
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies without due diligence policies on issues addressed by the fundamental International Labor Organisation Conventions 1 to 8	Companies without due diligence policies	Weight	Coverage
	CAC 40 ESG Index	0.0%	100.0%
	CAC Large 60 Index	0.0%	100.0%
Weighted average gender pay gap. The value is the percentage by which women's salaries are lower than men's. A negative value indicates a higher female salary.	Gender pay gap	Weighted Average	Coverage
	CAC 40 ESG Index	-1.2%	5.5%
	CAC Large 60 Index	-0.7%	4.7%

Weighted average percentage of female board members	Female board member %	Weighted Average	Coverage																							
	CAC 40 ESG Index	46.0%	100.0%																							
	CAC Large 60 Index	45.4%	100.0%																							
Weighted average ratio of accidents, injuries, fatalities. The factor evaluates the company employee’s total recordable incident rate, normalized by 200,000 hours worked.	Incident rate	Weighted Average	Coverage																							
	CAC 40 ESG Index	0.70	69%																							
	CAC Large 60 Index	0.85	73%																							
Numbers of convictions and amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws	no sufficient availability of data yet																									
d) List of governance factors																										
Weighted average governance rating of the benchmark (expressed by a value ranging from 1 to 4, higher is better)	Governance rating	Weighted Average	Coverage																							
	CAC 40 ESG Index	2.76	100.0%																							
	CAC Large 60 Index	2.75	100.0%																							
	<div>GOVERNANCE SCORE DISTRIBUTION</div>  <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>[1 - 2]</th><th>[2 - 2.2]</th><th>[2.2 - 2.4]</th><th>[2.4 - 2.6]</th><th>[2.6 - 2.8]</th><th>[2.8 - 3]</th><th>[3 - 4]</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Index</td><td>0.0%</td><td>2.5%</td><td>7.4%</td><td>23.7%</td><td>23.7%</td><td>22.7%</td><td>20.1%</td></tr><tr><td>Benchmark</td><td>0.8%</td><td>3.2%</td><td>5.9%</td><td>26.6%</td><td>20.8%</td><td>20.2%</td><td>22.6%</td></tr></tbody></table>				[1 - 2]	[2 - 2.2]	[2.2 - 2.4]	[2.4 - 2.6]	[2.6 - 2.8]	[2.8 - 3]	[3 - 4]	Index	0.0%	2.5%	7.4%	23.7%	23.7%	22.7%	20.1%	Benchmark	0.8%	3.2%	5.9%	26.6%	20.8%	20.2%
	[1 - 2]	[2 - 2.2]	[2.2 - 2.4]	[2.4 - 2.6]	[2.6 - 2.8]	[2.8 - 3]	[3 - 4]																			
Index	0.0%	2.5%	7.4%	23.7%	23.7%	22.7%	20.1%																			
Benchmark	0.8%	3.2%	5.9%	26.6%	20.8%	20.2%	22.6%																			
Weighted average percentage of board members who are independent	Independent board member %	Weighted Average	Coverage																							
	CAC 40 ESG Index	55.8%	100.0%																							
	CAC Large 60 Index	55.1%	100.0%																							
Weighted average percentage of female board members	Female board member %	Weighted Average	Coverage																							
	CAC 40 ESG Index	46.0%	100.0%																							
	CAC Large 60 Index	45.4%	100.0%																							
Hyperlink to the information on ESG	The ESG factors are described in the rulebook that is available here:		Index Rules																							

factors for each benchmark	The metrics on ESG factors in this benchmark statement are described in:	Climate Related Disclosure Definition
Item 8. Data and standards used		
a) Description of data sources used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement	<p>The ESG Corporate Rating provides highly relevant, material and forwardlooking environmental, social and governance data and performance assessments. The ESG Corporate Rating universe covers approximately 10,000 issuers, including :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • full coverage of major stock indices of developed and emerging markets, • coverage of small and mid-cap indices in developed markets, • important non-listed bond issuers. <p>A company's ESG performance is assessed with a standard set of crosssectoral indicators complemented by sector-specific ones in order to address its material ESG challenges. An Analyst Opinion complements each ESG Corporate Rating, providing a qualitative summary and analysis of central rating results in three dimensions: Sustainability Opportunities, Sustainability Risks and Governance. To ensure high quality analyses, indicators, rating structures, and results are regularly reviewed by an internal Methodology Board. In addition, methodology and results are regularly discussed with an external Rating Committee, which consists of highly recognized ESG experts.</p> <p>The scope of ISS ESG Norm-Based Research is aligned with the Principles of the U.N. Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. These globally endorsed standards translate obligations established in international norms into expectations of what constitutes Responsible Business Conduct. Principles of international law referenced and translated for business in leading global frameworks for Responsible Business Conduct include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Human rights (among others): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) -The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) -The Geneva Conventions • Labour rights: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -International Labour Organization (ILO) Conventions • Environmental standards (among others): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development -The Convention on Biological Diversity -The UN Framework Convention on Climate Change -The Paris Agreement • Anti-corruption standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The UN Convention against Corruption 	
b) Reference standards	<p>Normative frameworks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UN Global Compact • OECD Guidelines • UN Guiding Principles • UN Sustainable Development Goals • ILO Conventions • Paris Agreement • ICCPR and ICESCR • Convention on Biological Diversity 	

SECTION 2 – ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR EU CLIMATE TRANSITION AND EU PARIS-ALIGNED BENCHMARKS

Item 9. Where a benchmark is labelled as 'EU Climate Transition Benchmark' or 'EU Paris-aligned Benchmark', benchmark administrators shall also disclose the following information (yearly update)

a) forward-looking year-on-year decarbonisation trajectory based on Carbon intensity per euro of Enterprise Value provided by Carbone 4	not applicable
b) degree to which the IPCC decarbonisation trajectory (1,5°C with no or limited overshoot) has been achieved on average per year since creation	not applicable
c) overlap between those benchmarks and their investable universe, as defined in Article 1, point (e), of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1818 (1), using the active share at asset level.	not applicable

SECTION 3 – DISCLOSURE OF THE ALIGNMENT WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PARIS AGREEMENT

Item 10. By the date of application of this Regulation, for significant equity and bond benchmarks, EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmark administrators shall also disclose the following information.

By 31 December 2021, benchmark administrators shall, for each benchmark or, where applicable, each family of benchmarks, disclose the following information

a) Does the benchmark align with the target of reducing carbon emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement	No
b) the temperature scenario, in accordance with international standards, used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or attaining of the objectives of the Paris Agreement	not applicable
c) the name of the provider of the temperature scenario used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement	not applicable
d) the methodology used for the measurement of the alignment with the temperature scenario	not applicable
e) the hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used	not applicable

Date on which information has last been updated and reason for the update

December 2021:
Quarterly update